

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

GEORGE DOUGLAS SANDERS,

CV 06-1558-AS

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

BRIAN BELLEQUE,

Respondent.

MARSH, Judge.

Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas filed his Findings and Recommendation on October 16, 2007. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); *McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.*, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), *cert. denied*, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Petitioner filed timely objections. I have, therefore, given the file of this case a *de novo* review. I do not find any error. Accordingly, I ADOPT the Findings and Recommendation (#34) of Magistrate Judge Ashmanskas.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 16 day of November, 2007.

/s/ Malcolm F. Marsh  
Malcolm F. Marsh  
United States District Judge